



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/010,247 12/06/2001		Juergen A. Kortenbach	SYN-064B	5785	
24131	7590 01/25/2005		EXAMINER		
LERNER AND GREENBERG, PA			PANTUCK, BRADFORD C		
P O BOX 248 HOLLYWOO	0 D, FL 33022-2480		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
110221 1100	2, 12 20011 1 100		3731		

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applie	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
		-				15		
Office Action Summany		10/01	0,247	KORTENBACH ET AI	L.	Wy		
Onic	e Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit				
			rd C Pantuck	3731				
The MAI Period for Reply	LING DATE of this commu	nication appears on	the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	:SS			
A SHORTENED THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT - If the period for rep - If NO period for rep - Failure to reply with Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD IDATE OF THIS COMMUN may be available under the provision THS from the mailing date of this com thy specified above is less than thirty only is specified above, the maximum shin the set or extended period for reply the Office later than three months adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nomunication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply ary will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a reply be tin statutory minimum of thirty (30) day nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication	. ·		
Status								
1)⊠ Responsi	ive to communication(s) fi	ed on <u>23 Novembe</u>	<u>er 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This actio	on is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b) This action	is non-final.					
3) Since this	s application is in condition	n for allowance exc	ept for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the m	erits is			
closed in	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Cla	ims							
4a) Of the 5)⊠ Claim(s) 6)⊠ Claim(s) 7)⊠ Claim(s)	1-40 is/are pending in the above claim(s) 25-32 is/a 15-24 and 33-38 is/are all 1-4,6-11,13, 14, 39, and 4 5 and 12 is/are objected to restr	are withdrawn from owed. 1 <u>0</u> is/are rejected. o.						
Application Paper	·s							
9)⊡ The speci	ification is objected to by t	he Examiner.						
10)∐ The draw	ing(s) filed on is/ard	e: a)∏ accepted o	r b)□ objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant	may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing	(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
•	ent drawing sheet(s) includir					1).		
11)∏ The oath	or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner	. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119							
a) All b) 1. Ce 2. Ce 3. Co ap	dgment is made of a clain Some * c) None of: ertified copies of the priorit ertified copies of the priorit opies of the certified copies plication from the Internat tached detailed Office act	y documents have y documents have s of the priority doc onal Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in Applicat uments have been receiv Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	age			
Attachment(s)			_					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
	osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449			Patent Application (PTO-15	52)			

Continuation of Attachment(s) 6). Other: Attachment A from U.S. Patent 5,707,392.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6-11, and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,707,392 to Kortenbach. Regarding Claims 1, 2, 8, 10, Kortenbach discloses a forceps capable of applying clips, having a hollow member (15), a clevis (34) coupled to the distal end of the hollow member, and forceps jaws (36, 38) rotatably coupled to the clevis [Column 1, lines 52-55; Figures 2 and 10]. Each jaw [see Fig. 7] has a longitudinal extent. A longitudinal extent is considered to be something that extends longitudinally, which is considered to encompass a broad range of things. Each jaw has a channel (55), which extends along the longitudinal axis of the jaw. This channel (55) is most certainly capable of applying a surgical clip.

Prior art Figure 2 shows a device, with which Kortenbach's invention is meant to be used. Figure 2 shows push/pull wires (18, 19) coupled to the jaws, an it is evident that there is an actuation means at the proximal ends of these wires so that the user could actuate them [Column 1, lines 61-65]. Each jaw (51) has teeth capable of puncturing tissue, as shown in Figure 7.

Art Unit: 3731

- 2. Regarding Claims 3, 4, 6, 7, 11, and 13, each channel is cup shaped [Column 4, lines 7-11] and will therefore be hook shaped at the distal end of channel (55) and will be a able to provide the function of an anvil were a clip to be inserted therein.
- 3. Regarding Claim 9 and 14, at some point in the curved cup part of jaw (51), the angle will be 22 degrees relative to the vertical axis.
- 4. Claims 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,354,312 to Brinkerhoff et al. Brinkerhoff discloses a device, which has a hollow member (42) and a clevis (65) coupled to the distal end of the hollow member [see Column 4, lines 17-22; Fig. 3]. *The device is intended to be used endoscopically* [Column 1, lines 1-11]. There is a first jaw (46) rotatably coupled to the clevis (65), and a second jaw (48) rotatably coupled to the clevis (65) [Fig. 3; Column 4, lines 20-22]. The two jaws oppose each other, as shown in Fig. 2. Brinkerhoff's invention includes a pull/push wire (54), which is coupled to the jaws (46, 48) and extends through the hollow member (42) to its proximal end [see Fig 2; Column 4, lines 23-31]. Wire (54) is capable of both pushing and pulling the jaws into respective open and closed positions [Column 4, lines 29-31].

Next, Brinkerhoff discloses actuation means (44, 56, 58) coupled to the proximal end of the hollow member and the proximal end of the push/pull wire. The actuation means moves the push/pull wire through the hollow member to cause the jaws to rotate between an open and a closed position [see Fig. 2; Column 4, lines 7-11].

Application/Control Number: 10/010,247

Art Unit: 3731

Additionally, his instrument has a linkage (66), which has a rotating element (66) that is rotatably coupled to the clevis (65) and coupled to the push/pull wire (54) [see Column 4, lines 18-31]. Each linkage provides mechanical advantage in rotating the end effector, because each linkage holds each respective jaw (48 or 46) further out on each jaw (48 or 46), which diminishes the mechanical work that must be done on each jaw to open and close it. Rotating element (66) is coupled at coupling junction (78) to the end effector.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 5 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 15-24 and 33-38 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from

Application/Control Number: 10/010,247 Page 5

Art Unit: 3731

the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

### Response to Arguments

8. Regarding independent claims 1 and 10, Applicant's arguments filed November 23, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding independent claims 1 and 10, Examiner disagrees with Applicant's assertion that "the Kortenbach jaws 51, 151 do not define a channel and cannot apply, guide, fit, or even hold a surgical clip" [Remarks, p. 32]. Each of Kortenbach's jaws 51 has a trough-shaped channel 55, especially evident in Figures 7 and 8. A channel is defined as "a trench, furrow, or groove," a definition which Kortenbach's channel 55 surely meets.

Examiner can easily imagine a c-shaped resilient clip that could fit within the forceps jaws of Kortenbach's device and be applied (slidingly) to tissue. Reference is made to Attachment A [Attached to this document], in which Examiner has drawn such a clip, as are well known in the art. Although Kortenbach's device is not intended for such an intended use, as the jaws close, the distal ends of the clip will slide into the distal end of each channel 55, being pushed into tissue. One end of the clip could have a clasp in order to catch the other arm of the clip, maintaining the clip in the closed position after application.

9. Examiner maintains his opinion that teeth 52 of Kortenbach's device are capable of puncturing tissue, especially the tender, soft stomach tissue of the human body, contrary to Applicant's assertions on page 34 of "Remarks."

Application/Control Number: 10/010,247 Page 6

Art Unit: 3731

10. Applicant's arguments, see the first paragraph of page 38 in "Remarks", filed

November 23, 2004, with respect to the rejection of claims 15-24 and 33-38 have

been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 15-24 and 33-38

has been withdrawn.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Bradford C Pantuck whose telephone number is (571)

272-4701. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 12, 2005

ANHTUÁNT. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

